

# Active citizenship and seniors' role in the society

## RIGHTS

2019-IT02-KA204-063346

## QUALITY REPORT



PANEVĖŽIO RAJONO  
ŠVIETIMO CENTRAS



Junta de  
Castilla y León  
Consejería de Educación



*"The European Commission's support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents, which reflect the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein."*

## INDEX

INTRODUCTION.....	4
1 Internal quality evaluation of the project.....	5
1.1 General role:.....	5
1.2 Communication:.....	6
1.3 Project management:.....	7
1.4 Comments:.....	9
2 Quality Evaluations of the Intellectual Outputs.....	9
2.1 Intellectual Output 1- Handbook of Research and Analysis of Rights in Fairy Tales.....	9
2.2 Intellectual Output 2- How to build the theatres in suitcases.....	10
2.3 Intellectual Output 3- How to manage non formal educational activities in class.....	11
2.4 Intellectual Output 7- A bridge between generation.....	12
3 Transnational Project Meetings.....	13
3.1 First Transnational Project Meeting – Italy.....	13
3.1.1 Preliminary organization.....	14
3.1.2 Reaching of the objectives.....	15
3.1.3 Appropriateness of the agenda & work sessions.....	15
3.1.4 Quality of discussion & understanding.....	16
3.1.5 Logistics.....	17
3.1.6 Comments:.....	18
3.2 Second Transnational Project Meeting – Portugal.....	18
3.2.1 Preparation.....	19
3.2.2 Reaching of the objectives.....	19
3.2.3 Agenda & work sessions.....	20
3.2.4 Discussion & understanding.....	21
3.2.5 Logistics.....	22
3.2.6 Comments.....	23
3.3 Third Transnational Project Meeting – Lithuania.....	23
3.3.1 Preparation.....	24

3.3.2 Agenda & work sessions.....	25
3.3.3 Discussion & understanding.....	26
3.3.4 Logistics.....	27
3.3.5 Comments.....	27
4 Training courses.....	28
4.1 First Training Course – Italy.....	28
4.1.1 Preliminary organization.....	28
4.1.2 Clarity of objectives and personal expectations.....	29
4.1.3 Appropriateness of the lessons.....	30
4.1.4 General organization and time management.....	30
4.1.5 Comments:.....	31
4.2 Second Training Course- Poland.....	32
4.2.1 Preliminary organization.....	32
4.2.2 Clarity of objectives and personal expectations.....	33
4.2.3 Appropriateness of the lessons.....	34
4.2.4 General organization and time management.....	34
4.2.5 Comments:.....	35
5 Multiplier Event in Italy.....	35
5.1.1 Preliminary organization.....	36
.....	36
5.1.2 Clarity of objectives and personal expectations.....	36
5.1.3 Quality of the interventions.....	37
5.1.4 Logistics & General organization.....	37
CONCLUSIONS.....	38

## INTRODUCTION

RIGHTS Project (2019-1-IT02-KA204-063346) is a strategic partnership for adult education co-funded within the Erasmus+ programme, to build a bridge between generations and encourage seniors to be active citizens.

Right uses creative pedagogical techniques, such as tales of rights in suitcases, to raise awareness of social and human rights as the cornerstone of laws, their respect, their defence and of their promotion in educational contexts. As a result, through the actual experience of senior people, the rights are included in dramatized versions of fairy tales, which are used as a tool for telling the history of the fights for the rights.

Polo Europeo della Conoscenza (Europole) as coordinator of the project has developed a Quality Plan, shared with the partnership, aimed at preventing problems during the project and implementing actions that has ensured the successful execution of the project. Quality plan has covered not only the results delivered by the project but also the process and procedures used to manage the project, that includes the way the project uses the tools, techniques and methodologies to manage scope, schedule, budget and quality.

The quality of the project's activities and results have been encouraged by a clear explanation of actions and roles among the partner organizations. The Quality have been monitored by the Quality Committee (QC) that was set up during the first Transnational Meeting of the project. The Quality Committee was made up by one member from each partner. The Quality Committee, led by Europole, has been responsible for the quality control and evaluation of all activities within the project, as well as in charge of monitoring the progress, handling risk management, and communicating regularly to ensure a beneficial flow of information and decisions.

Quality committee & Partner Representative	Organization
Stefano Cobello	Polo Europeo della Conoscenza
Marian Blanco	Consejeria de Educacion de la Junta de Castilla y Leon
Jurgita Vaitiekuniene	Panevezio Rajono Svietimo Centras
José Nunes	Make it Better
Maciej Dymacz	ARID Association
Pirvu Ionica	Sinaptica Association

This document reports the main quantitative results of the quality management. The main quality aspects assessed by the QC and the project's participants are:

1. **Internal project evaluation** – Review of the projects management plans and the processes described within those plans to determine if the plan is being followed or if there is a need for improvement.
2. **Output evaluation** – review process for all outputs produced during the project has been established in order to ensure the quality of the outcomes.
3. **Meetings evaluation** – review process of all the meeting occasions has been established in order to ensure the quality of the internal and external communication.
4. **Multiplier events & training courses evaluation** – collection of feedback from the participants to the multiplier events and the training to evaluate the effectiveness of the knowledge provided.

## 1 Internal quality evaluation of the project

The main target of this internal evaluation is the quality of management and the general advancement of the project is to evaluate the work of the Coordinators, as well as the work of the partner organizations as Output leaders.


Questionnaires have been distributed among the representatives of the partners. The survey was in the form of multiple choice, rated on a Likert scale from 0 to 10, where 1 meant very dissatisfied/absolutely no and 10 very satisfied/absolutely yes (0 meant not applicable). The forms have been sent electronically to each partner organization through a Google Module.

### 1.1 General role:

Partners had a clear understanding of their role and the majority of them think that they have been able to fully comply with it.


Have you had a clear understanding of your role in the project?

6 risposte



Do you believe that you have been able to fully comply with your role in the project?

6 risposte




## 1.2 Communication:

Partners are fully satisfied with the frequency, the completeness and the quality of the communication with the coordinator, the output leaders and among the other partners.


What do you think about the frequency, completeness and quality of the communication with the Coordinator?

6 risposte




What do you think about the frequency, completeness and quality of the communication with the output leaders?

6 risposte



What do you think about the frequency, completeness and quality of the communication with the other partners?

6 risposte




### 1.3 Project management:

Partners are satisfied with the main aspects of the project management: the distribution of efforts, the timetable, the reporting mechanism and the support by the coordinator.


What do you think about the distribution of effort among the project partners?

6 risposte




### What do you think about the scheduling / timetable for the project?

6 risposte




### What do you think about the internal mechanism for project reporting (contents and financial)?

6 risposte



### What do you think about the support received by the project coordinator?

6 risposte





#### 1.4 Comments:

- The main drawback was created by the general context of the pandemic. This creates the only difficulties of the local implementation and of the interaction with the partners. However, the project, as such, will be more valuable after the implementation due the luggages distributed and the involvement of the local associated partners.
- Coordinator provided correct management explanations and support
- Thank you, it was a great project
- Thanks to the cooperation of the partners the project has successfully overcome all the difficulties connected to the pandemic and its impact on the project's target groups
- Overall the quality of the management was good. Despite the constraints caused by the pandemic, we managed to overcome all obstacles and achieve the expected results.
- The partnership and the coordinator have worked very well to ensure the successful implementation of the project, despite the difficulties of the pandemic.

## 2 Quality Evaluations of the Intellectual Outputs

The main aim of the quality evaluation of the intellectual outputs is to assess whether the document fully obeys the qualitative criteria.

An evaluation questionnaire has been sent to each partner organization at the end of the Content Creation Phase of each output. The evaluation questionnaire was in the form of multiple choice Google form. The evaluator could rate from 0 to 10 the questions about different aspects of the document: didactic and pedagogical suitability, content, language, structure, aesthetics. In case of necessity, partners also commented directly the documents, highlighting and commenting points that need to be reworked.

The following tables summarize the mean results of the partners' evaluation in each ax.

#### 2.1 Intellectual Output 1 - Handbook of Research and Analysis of Rights in Fairy Tales

Axes	Question	Average result
A. Didactic and Pedagogical suitability	Have the principles of developing material suitable for the specific target group, been followed?	7.8
	Are the educational goals clear?	8.5
	Is the development of the readers promoted?	9.1
B. The content	Does the content serve the objectives of the	9

	project?	
	Is the content clear?	8.3
	Has the selection been made of meaningful and interesting content?	9
<b>C. Language</b>	Is the language of the text understandable?	8.2
	Does terminology meet the established terminology for the relevant field?	7.5
	Is the output correct from the point of view of spelling and syntax?	8.7
<b>D. Structure – Organizing</b>	Does the document follow the provided template?	8.5
	Is the document structured in a consistent way?	8.3
	Is the index updated and consistent?	8.6
<b>E. Aesthetics</b>	Is the publication of the material appealing to the target group?	8
	Is the representation of symbols, icons, tables and designs uniform?	8.8
	Is the front easy to read, especially if it is aimed at a target population with specific characteristics?	9

## 2.2 Intellectual Output 2 - How to build the theatres in suitcases

Axes	Question	Average result
<b>A. Didactic and Pedagogical suitability</b>	Have the principles of developing material suitable for the specific target group, been followed?	8.5
	Are the educational goals clear?	8.7
	Is the development of the readers promoted?	9.1
<b>B. The content</b>	Does the content serve the objectives of the project?	9.5
	Is the content clear?	9

	Has the selection been made of meaningful and interesting content?	8.2
C. Language	Is the language of the text understandable?	8.3
	Does terminology meet the established terminology for the relevant technical field?	8.7
	Is the output correct from the point of view of spelling and syntax?	8.7
D. Structure – Organizing	Does the document follow the provided template?	9
	Is the document structured in a consistent way?	8.7
	Is the index updated and consistent?	9.2
E. Aesthetics	Is the publication of the material appealing to the target group?	8.5
	Is the representation of symbols, icons, tables and designs uniform?	9
	Is the front easy to read, especially if it is aimed at a target population with specific characteristics?	9

### 2.3 Intellectual Output 3 - How to manage non formal educational activities in class

Axes	Question	Average result
A. Didactic and Pedagogical suitability	Have the principles of developing material suitable for the specific target group, been followed?	8.8
	Are the educational goals clear?	9.0
	Is the development of the readers promoted?	8.7
B. The content	Does the content serve the objectives of the project?	8.8
	Is the content clear?	9.0
	Is the content scientifically correct?	8.8

	Has the selection been made of meaningful and interesting contents?	8.7
C. Language	Is the language of the text understandable?	9.0
	Does terminology meet the established terminology for the relevant scientific field?	9.0
	Is the output correct from the point of view of spelling and syntax?	9.2
D. Structure – Organizing	Does the document follow the provided template?	9.0
	Is the document structured in a consistent way?	8.8
	Is the index updated and consistent?	9.3
E. Aesthetics	Is the publication of the material appealing to the target group?	8.8
	Is the representation of symbols, icons, tables and designs uniform?	9.2
	Is the front easy to read, especially if it is aimed at a target population with specific characteristics?	9.0

#### 2.4 Intellectual Output 7 - A bridge between generation

Axes	Question	Average result
A. Didactic and Pedagogical suitability	Have the principles of developing material suitable for the specific target group, been followed?	9.3
	Are the educational goals clear?	9.2
	Is the development of the readers promoted?	9.0
B. The content	Does the content serve the objectives of the project?	9.2
	Is the content clear?	9.5
	Has the selection been made of meaningful and	9.0

	interesting content?	
<b>C. Language</b>	Is the language of the text understandable?	9.2
	Does terminology meet the established terminology for the relevant scientific field?	9.2
	Is the output correct from the point of view of spelling and syntax?	9.2
<b>D. Structure – Organizing</b>	Does the document follow the provided template?	9.7
	Is the document structured in a consistent way?	9.2
	Is the index updated and consistent?	9.3
<b>E. Aesthetics</b>	Is the publication of the material appealing to the target group?	9.2
	Is the representation of symbols, icons, tables and designs uniform?	9.3
	Is the front easy to read, especially if it is aimed at a target population with specific characteristics?	9.3

### 3 Transnational Project Meetings

The organization of the Transnational Project Meetings has been assessed through questionnaires sent electronically to each partner organization participating within one week after each event. The evaluation questionnaire was in the form of multiple choice, rated on a Likert scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means very dissatisfied/absolutely no and 10 very satisfied/absolutely yes. The forms have been sent electronically to each participant to the Transnational Project Meeting through a Google Module.

#### 3.1 First Transnational Project Meeting – Italy

The first project meeting (10-14/12/2019) took place in Italy, in Valeggio Sul Mincio (VR), in one of the schools-members of the Europole's network. The meeting focused on presenting the project, defining the tasks of each partner and planning future activities.

The results show the good quality of the meeting. The participants rated all the question in the very satisfied range. The preliminary information has been shared by the host, the objectives were clear and they have been met. The working sessions have been respectful of the opinions, clear and effective,


their length has been good and allowed to answer to all the questions. The meeting venue was equipped appropriately, but some improvements are required.

The following sub-sections present in detailed the results of the survey.

### 3.1.1 Preliminary organization


**01 - Before the meeting have you received all the necessary information for the travel and accommodation arrangement?**

9 risposte



**02 - Before the meeting have you received all the necessary information about the documents to be prepared?**


9 risposte



### 3.1.2 Reaching of the objectives


03 - Were the objectives of the meeting clearly defined?

9 risposte



04 - Do you think that the meeting achieved its objectives?


9 risposte



### 3.1.3 Appropriateness of the agenda & work sessions


05 - What do you think about the appropriateness of the agenda (relevance to the project aims and objectives)?

9 risposte



06 - What do you think about the organization of the work sessions (the schedule respected, the agenda properly followed, etc.)?


9 risposte



### 3.1.4 Quality of discussion & understanding

07 - What do you think about the quality of the discussions held at the meeting (exchange of opinions, open discussions, contribution by all partners, partners' opinion taken in consideration...)?


9 risposte






### 08 - During meeting were all your questions properly answered?

9 risposte



### 09 - Do you have clear in mind the tasks and activities to be performed by your institution before the next project meeting?


9 risposte



## 3.1.5 Logistics


### 10 - Were the venue and equipment appropriately chosen for the meeting?

9 risposte



### 11 - What is your opinion about the social and cultural part of the program?

9 risposte



#### 3.1.6 Comments:

- Very positive kick off meeting / Thank you for the fruitful meeting / Thank you
- Promising beginning of the project / The project is promising and the meeting well organized. I'm glad to have met new partners
- Thank you, the organization of the meeting was good, our questions will be for sure answered by email in the following days / I've had small problem with the venue, but in general the meeting has been very positive and the project is interesting
- It has been a nice way to start the project. We've met new partners and the next steps are clear. / The meeting was well organized and all is clear

## 3.2 Second Transnational Project Meeting – Portugal

The second transnational project meeting has taken place in Cuba (Portugal) hosted by Make it Better, from the 9<sup>th</sup> to the 12<sup>th</sup> May 2022. The Covid-19 pandemic prevent the partners to have earlier meeting, but the communication and the discussions have been held active through online meetings.

During the meeting in Portugal the partners discussed about the implementation of the activities in the schools and the finalization of the project's intellectual output. The hosting partner has also organize a small dissemination event involving the local schools.


The feedback of the partners are very positive, the meeting has been productive and lead to a clear common understanding between the partners. The host has organized the meeting precisely, providing all the necessary information and identifying a proper venue. The social part has been highly appreciated.

The following sub-sections present in detailed the results of the survey.

### 3.2.1 Preparation


01 - Before the meeting have you received all the necessary information for the travel and accommodation arrangement?

12 risposte



02 - Before the meeting have you received all the necessary information about the documents to be prepared?


12 risposte



### 3.2.2 Reaching of the objectives


03 - Were the objectives of the meeting clearly defined?

12 risposte



#### 04 - Do you think that the meeting achieved its objectives?


12 risposte



### 3.2.3 Agenda & work sessions


#### 05 - What do you think about the appropriateness of the agenda (relevance to the project aims and objectives)?

12 risposte



#### 06 - What do you think about the organization of the work sessions (the schedule respected, the agenda properly followed, etc.)?


12 risposte



### 3.2.4 Discussion & understanding


07 - What do you think about the quality of the discussions held at the meeting (exchange of opinions, open discussions, contribution by all partners, partners' opinion taken in consideration...)?

12 risposte




08 - During meeting were all your questions properly answered?

12 risposte



09 - Do you have clear in mind the tasks and activities to be performed by your institution before the next project meeting?


12 risposte



### 3.2.5 Logistics


10 - Were the venue and equipment appropriately chosen for the meeting?

12 risposte



11 - What is your opinion about the social and cultural part of the program?

12 risposte



### 3.2.6 Comments

- One of the most productive and efficient meeting. / The meeting was really satisfactory and productive. It was an interesting meeting, with open, constructive discussions. My opinion is that certain aspects of the project became more clear for the partners. The shared experiences would help everybody to develop/ apply the project so that to get the most of its possible positive effects/ outcomes. / The meeting was really satisfactory and productive. / The meeting was very concrete, we took common decisions. There was also time for expressing opinions. The organisation of the meeting and free time was amazing.
- I was impressed by the sincere reception of the organizers and the abundance and beauty of the cultural objects of the Cuba region. / Very good hosting and very positive discussion with the partners.
- Lovely meeting / Thank Elena for productive meeting and Portugal team for wonderful hosting. / Thanks to all participants for the good mood and facilitation
- As part of the host entity, some of the questions will not be so directed to me, however I hope it was profitable to everyone in many ways and that this form will help to improve the future events. / It was my first experience in a TPM and it was excellent from a working, cultural and human perspective. Thank you!

## 3.3 Third Transnational Project Meeting – Lithuania

The final transnational project meeting has been hosted from the 6<sup>th</sup> to the 9<sup>th</sup> June 2022 in Panevezys by the District Education Centre. The partners have discussed about the detail for the finalization of the project, shared feedback and lesson learned during the implementation, prepared the final report.


The feedback from the partners to all the considered items are very positive. The meeting has been organized very well, the discussions have been held in a constructive way, clarifying the partners' doubts for the last part of the project.

The following sub-sections present in detailed the results of the survey.

### 3.3.1 Preparation


01 - Before the meeting have you received all the necessary information for the travel and accommodation arrangement?

10 risposte




02 - Before the meeting have you received all the necessary information about the documents to be prepared?

10 risposte



03 - Were the objectives of the meeting clearly defined?


10 risposte





#### 04 - Do you think that the meeting achieved its objectives?


10 risposte



### 3.3.2 Agenda & work sessions


#### 05 - What do you think about the appropriateness of the agenda (relevance to the project aims and objectives)?

10 risposte



#### 06 - What do you think about the organization of the work sessions (the schedule respected, the agenda properly followed, etc.)?


10 risposte



### 3.3.3 Discussion & understanding


07 - What do you think about the quality of the discussions held at the meeting (exchange of opinions, open discussions, contribution by all partners, partners' opinion taken in consideration...)?

10 risposte




08 - During meeting were all your questions properly answered?

10 risposte



09 - Do you have clear in mind the tasks and activities to be performed by your institution before the next project meeting?


10 risposte



### 3.3.4 Logistics


10 - Were the venue and equipment appropriately chosen for the meeting?

10 risposte



11 - What is your opinion about the social and cultural part of the program?

10 risposte



### 3.3.5 Comments

- Good balance between discussions and practical activities / Well organised meeting. / The meeting was very well organized! / Very positive final meeting. / Very productive meeting. It is a pity to face the Covid 19 impact, since the meetings can't be organised during the implementation.
- It was my first meeting and although I was unaware of some of the things to be done, I was welcomed at all times, they accepted my questions and helped me to complete the work that my organization had to do, the pending tasks and they welcomed the proposed ideas, so been very enriching

- This meeting allowed important clarifications about the next stages of the project and the tasks to be carried out among the partners. Personally, I really enjoyed the agenda, from the meetings about the project to the cultural and social part, with very appealing and diversified activities at the cultural and social level. Lithuania is a very "green" place that I loved to get to know.
- Thank you for the possibility of this meeting. / I d like to thank to to Jurgita for the kind host and showing a bit of Lithuanian culture and Elena and Stefan for the TPM management and all issues clarified! See you soon / Thank You very much Jurgita :) That it was awesome meeting and common time! It will stay in my memory for a long time.

## 4 Training courses

Two transnational training courses have been organized during the project, addressed to seniors, trainers of seniors and teachers. The partners have submitted the quality survey to all the participants to the training. The evaluation questionnaire was in the form of multiple choice, rated on a Likert scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means very dissatisfied/absolutely no and 10 very satisfied/absolutely yes. The forms have been sent electronically to each participant to the Transnational Training Course through a Google Module.

### 4.1 First Training Course – Italy

The first training course has been hosted in Italy from the 12<sup>th</sup> to the 18<sup>th</sup> November 2022. The training focused on the practical creation of the theatre in the suitcase and the puppets. The 34 participants were senior or people working with seniors. The training was in English but it has been give time for translation in the national language for those who had more difficulties to understand.


The feedback from the participants are very positive. The preliminary information were clear, the lessons have been well organized, the schedule was proper and the venue good. The participants have appreciated the quality of the contents of the training.

The following sub-sections present in detailed the results of the survey.

#### 4.1.1 Preliminary organization

01. Before the training did you receive all the information needed for the travel?


22 risposte



#### 4.1.2 Clarity of objectives and personal expectations


02. Were you clear what the objective of the training were?

22 risposte



03. Do you think that the training achieved its objectives?

22 risposte




Comments:

- I think so, only cultural differences and the language barrier did not allow all the time to be used effectively for training.
- I believe we have learnt very effectively all the practical skills needed for performance of puppet theatre. The Favolavà artists were very helpful and went an extra mile to teach us hands-on.

#### 4.1.3 Appropriateness of the lessons

05. What do you think about the appropriateness of the training sessions (relevance to the project's aims and objectives)?


22 risposte



#### 4.1.4 General organization and time management


04. What do you think about the general organisation of the training?

22 risposte



#### 06. Were the venue and the equipment appropriately chosen for the training?

22 risposte




#### Comments:

- the library was superb.
- It was great to visit the library and see its rich collections but I think we made some noise and could disturb the readers in the library. For which we apologize.
- Perfect
- The venue of the training was beautiful and equipment used for the sessions was relevant.

#### 07. What is your opinion about the time management of the training course? (balance between working sessions and free time)

22 risposte



#### 4.1.5 Comments:

- The training was very good managed, very good explained, nice people, pleasant working place and atmosphere, I learned many interesting and important things that I will implement in my next projects
- It was a very active training with group work and a very good result where all participants could learn new construction techniques and share their own techniques. Thank's you so much to all!! / All the trainers and colleagues were involved in the training. / I have enjoyed it very much. I

have learnt from all the participants and the organization. I wish I can share all with my own team in my country. Thank you so much

- It was very interesting and important for my career with children. / Interesting, useful, inspiring / Thank you, there was great and helpful training. / The training was very interesting and helpful in education.
- I would like to thank all the people and organizations involved for sharing their passions and work that makes children happy and serves their comprehensive development. / Tutors of The object were very professional. Especially we were impressed by The job of volunteers. /
- We missed a tour in the children's department of the library. We did what we could by ourselves, no comments, no explanation nor sharing.
- The training helped better understand how to tell children about Rights through fairy tales. I really enjoyed it, gained a lot of interesting experiences and met a lot of creative people. Thanks for the experience in the international project. / They were very intense days of work but they allowed us to establish new work ties for the future / Good experience, more knowledge about training and about other countries and other people .
- I loved the whole experience. Thanks to all the people involved in this project, they were days of many learning. / The training has been satisfactory at all times, both the trip and the organization. Congratulation to the organizers. / Wonderful previous organization of the materials needed for the course by Favolavá / I loved the experience. / We were very comfortable all week. Thanks!!! / I was very satisfied and happy to participate. / perfect

## **4.2 Second Training Course - Poland**

The second training event took place in Krakow on 18-23 April 2022. The 30 participants were teachers, seniors o persons working with seniors. The training focused more on the representation and on the creation educational contents. The training was in English but it has been give time for translation in the national language for those who had more difficulties to understand.

The feedback from the participants have been extremely positive, the training has been very useful and interesting for them. The schedule of the lessons was properly balanced, the information and the objective were clear and the venue well chosen.


The following sub-sections present in detailed the results of the survey.

### **4.2.1 Preliminary organization**



01. Before the training did you receive all the information needed for the travel?


29 risposte



#### 4.2.2 Clarity of objectives and personal expectations


02. Were you clear what the objective of the training were?

29 risposte



03. Do you think that the training achieved its objectives?


29 risposte



### 4.2.3 Appropriateness of the lessons

05. What do you think about the appropriateness of the training sessions (relevance to the project's aims and objectives)?


29 risposte



### 4.2.4 General organization and time management


04. What do you think about the general organisation of the training?

29 risposte




06. Were the venue and the equipment appropriately chosen for the training?

29 risposte



**07. What is your opinion about the time management of the training course? (balance between working sessions and free time)**

29 risposte



#### 4.2.5 Comments:

- Participants have been very much motivated, good participation / Its a good training,I learn more with all partners. / Good involvement of participants. Very active and participative. Positive feedback from them.
- It is going to enrich our teaching practice since we shared different perspectives. / It is very important for the teachers / I liked so much, was a very nice training to improve my skills in this area
- Thank you / Thank you for training course / Thanks / You are awesome / Very nice training
- Very interesting / Very interesting, educational and useful! / A very interesting project. As always, sharing between delegations is essential / We are really interested in how to find the Rights in stories. / I really love the project and I think is very interesting topic.
- Overall good / Was great / I'm satisfied / Engaging / Interesting / It was ok / Very inspiring / Everything was great! / wonderful /
- I learnt how to plan a spectacle, but it was shorter than I needed

## 5 Multiplier Event in Italy

Europole has organised the multiplier event of the project with the title "The rights of School – A School of Rights". The event has been held in Verona the 13<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> November 2021. The event was attended by a total 448 participants in presence and 240 views to the online registration of the intervention. About 80 representatives from over 15 European and non-European countries involved, attended the event.


The quality assessment survey was in the form of multiple choice, rated on a Likert scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means very dissatisfied/absolutely no and 10 very satisfied/absolutely yes. The forms have been sent electronically to each participant to the Transnational Project Meeting through a Google Module.

The general feedback of the participants is very positive about all the considered items. The following sub-sections present in detailed the results of the survey.

### 5.1.1 Preliminary organization

Before the event have you received all the necessary information?


58 risposte



### 5.1.2 Clarity of objectives and personal expectations

Have your expectations about the event been met?


58 risposte



### 5.1.3 Quality of the interventions


What do you think about the quality of the intervention(s) during event?

58 risposte



What do you think about the organization of the workshops?


58 risposte



### 5.1.4 Logistics & General organization


What do you think about the chosen location and its services?

58 risposte



What do you think about the general organization of the event?

58 risposte



## CONCLUSIONS

This report shows how the Quality Management of this project have produced a strong strategy that allowed to reach positive results in different aspects of project's implementation.

- All the partners have expressed high satisfaction for the communication incurred among them, for the support of the output leaders and the general management of the project.
- All the public outputs have been produced professionally, with commitment and attention.
- The Transnational Project Meetings have been properly organized in order to ensure the mutual understating and the proper planning of the project's activities.
- The training courses and the multiplier event have been successful and many teachers have benefited from the participation.

The feedback from the partners and from the participants have always been positive, demonstrating the high commitment of the partners toward a professional project's implementation.